



TABLE OF CONTENTS

REAL PARTY IN INTEREST	4
RELATED APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES	4
STATUS OF CLAIMS	4
STATUS OF AMENDMENTS	4
SUMMARY OF THE CLAIMED SUBJECT MATTER	4
GROUND OF REJECTION TO BE REVIEWED ON APPEAL	11
ARGUMENT	12
I. With regard to the rejection of claims 1-3, 5-13 and 16-24 under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over Misra in view of Kobus, it is respectfully submitted that there is no suggestion in either reference for the proposed combination and even the proposed combination cannot render the present invention obvious since even the hypothetical combination of references fails to suggest several of the recited features of the noted claims.	
	12
II. With regard to the rejection of claims 4 and 15 under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over Misra in view of Kobus and in still further view of Doherty, it is respectfully submitted that there is no suggestion in any of the references for the proposed combination and even the proposed combination cannot render the present invention obvious since even the hypothetical combination of references fails to suggest several of the recited features of the noted claims.	
	18
III. With regard to the rejection of claim 14 under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over Misra in view of Kobus and in still further view of Nabahi, it is respectfully submitted that there is no suggestion in any of the references for the proposed combination and even the proposed combination cannot render the present invention obvious since even the hypothetical combination of references fails to suggest several of the recited features of the noted claim.	
	19

APPEAL BRIEF
PAGE 2 OF 28

Serial Number 09/970,655
Attorney Docket No. AUS920010938US1

86		
87	CONCLUSION	20
88		
89	CLAIMS APPENDIX	22-26
90		
91	EVIDENCE APPENDIX	27
92		
93	RELATED PROCEEDINGS APPENDIX	28
94		

95 REAL PARTY IN INTEREST

96
97 The present application is assigned to International Business
98 Machines Corporation, the real party in interest.
99

100
101 RELATED APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

102
103 There are no related Appeals or Interferences currently pending.
104
105

106 STATUS OF THE CLAIMS

107
108 Claims 1-6, 8-15 and 17-19 are pending and stand finally rejected
109 by the Examiner as noted in the Final Office Action mailed
110 November 7, 2005. The rejection of claims 1-6, 8-15 and 17-19 is
111 hereby being appealed.
112
113

114 STATUS OF AMENDMENTS

115
116 No Amendments have been filed subsequent to the Final Rejection
117 which was mailed on 4/6/06.
118
119

120 SUMMARY OF THE CLAIMED SUBJECT MATTER

121
122 The subject patent application includes independent claims 1, 16

APPEAL BRIEF
PAGE 4 OF 28

Serial Number 09/970,655
Attorney Docket No. AUS920010938US1

and 24, and the remaining claims ultimately depend from and include all of the limitations of one of the independent claims. Claim 1 recites a method embodying the present invention, claim 16 recites a medium embodying the present invention and claim 24 recites a network embodying the present invention. A concise explanation of the claimed subject matter is defined in each of the independent claims 1, 16 and 24, which, along with exemplary specification and drawing references, is set forth below.

1. A method for extracting identification information from a software package (*e.g.*, *inter alia*, Figure 5 and Page 11, line 22, to page 12, line 23), said software package including a number of executable software modules (Figure 6, Program Modules 601, page 12 line 25 to page 13, line 26 and 814 Figure 8) organized in a manner (*e.g.*, *inter alia*, Linked Program Modules 603, Figure 6) determined by said identification information (*e.g.*, *inter alia*, Figure 5), said method comprising:

determining an organization of said executable software modules within said software package (*e.g.*, *inter alia*, 811, 813 and 814 Figure 8); and

extracting (*e.g.*, *inter alia*, 815 Figure 8) said identification information (*e.g.*, *inter alia*, Figure 5 and 605 Figure 6) from said organization of said executable software modules (*e.g.*, *inter alia*, 603 Figure 6) within said software package.

To the combination set forth in claim 1, claim 2 adds the

APPEAL BRIEF
PAGE 5 OF 28

151 recitation that the "executable modules are coupled together
152 (e.g., *inter alia*, 603 Figure 6) in a manner representative of
153 said identification information (e.g., *inter alia*, Figure 5 and
154 605 Figure 6).

155
156 To the combination set forth in claim 2, claim 3 adds the
157 recitation that said executable software modules are coupled
158 together by compiling (e.g., *inter alia*, p9, 127 et seq., p10,
159 127 & 32 et seq., & p11, 17 et seq.) said software modules into
160 an executable form of said software package.

161
162 To the combination set forth in claim 2, claim 4 adds the
163 recitation that said executable software modules are coupled
164 together by linking (e.g., *inter alia*, Abstract, line 15; p9,
165 line 27, 31; p10, line 32; p11, 7; p12, lines 7, 19 and 22 et
166 seq.) said executable software modules into an executable form of
167 said software package.

168
169 To the combination set forth in claim 1, claim 5 adds the
170 recitations of analyzing said software package to determine an
171 organizational relationship among said executable software
172 modules; and determining a binary series (e.g., *inter alia*,
173 Abstract lines 11-13; p3, line 16 et seq.; p11, line 16 et seq.;
174 p11, line 31 et seq.) from said organizational relationship of
175 said executable software modules.

176
177 To the combination set forth in claim 1, claim 6 adds the
178 recitation of transmitting said software package over a network

APPEAL BRIEF
PAGE 6 OF 28

Serial Number 09/970,655
Attorney Docket No. AUS920010938US1

179 (e.g., *inter alia*, Abstract line 16 et seq.) to a requesting
180 terminal, said requesting terminal being enabled to extract said
181 identification information from said organization of said
182 executable software modules of said software package.

183
184 To the combination set forth in claim 6, claim 7 adds the
185 recitation that said software package is transmitted from a user
186 terminal over an Internet network (e.g., *inter alia*, p2, lines
187 15, 29; Figure 4, 405) to a server (e.g. *inter alia*, Figure 4,
188 407).

189
190 To the combination set forth in claim 6, claim 8 adds the
191 recitation that said user terminal is a wireless device (e.g.,
192 *inter alia*, p5, line 22 et seq.).

193
194 To the combination set forth in claim 6, claim 9 adds the
195 recitation that said user terminal is a personal computer system
196 (e.g., *inter alia*, p5, line 22 et seq.).

197
198 To the combination set forth in claim 1, claim 10 adds the
199 recitation that said identification information includes an
200 identification of a user (e.g., *inter alia*, p13, line 21 et seq.)
201 of said software package.

202
203 To the combination set forth in claim 1, claim 11 adds the
204 recitation that said identification information includes an
205 identifying number (e.g., *inter alia*, p13, line 21 et seq.)
206 related to said software package.

APPEAL BRIEF
PAGE 7 OF 28

Serial Number 09/970,655
Attorney Docket No. AUS920010938US1

207
208 To the combination set forth in claim 11, claim 12 adds the
209 recitation that said identification information further includes
210 an identification of a user (*e.g., inter alia, p13, line 20 et*
211 *seq.*) of said software package.
212
213 To the combination set forth in claim 1, claim 13 adds the
214 recitation that said executable software modules are organized in
215 a series of sets (*e.g., inter alia, p13, line 27 et seq.*) of
216 executable software modules, each of said sets comprising a
217 predetermined number of executable software modules.
218
219 To the combination set forth in claim 13, claim 14 adds the
220 recitation that said series of sets corresponds to a binary
221 series, (*e.g., inter alia, Abstract lines 11-13; p3, line 16 et*
222 *seq.; p11, line 16 et seq.; p11, line 31 et seq.*) and each of
223 said sets comprises first and second executable software modules,
224 said binary series being determined in accordance with a sequence
225 of said first and second executable software modules within said
226 sets of said executable software modules.
227
228 To the combination set forth in claim 13, claim 15 adds the
229 recitation that said series of sets is organized in other than a
230 binary format (*e.g., inter alia, p9, line 1 et seq.; p11, line 24*
231 *et seq.*), each of said sets comprising a number of said
232 executable software modules other than two, said identification
233 information being determined according to an order in which said
234 number of executable software modules are sequenced within said

APPEAL BRIEF
PAGE 8 OF 28

Serial Number 09/970,655
Attorney Docket No. AUS920010938US1

235 sets of executable software modules.

236

237 The drawing and specification references of independent claim 16
238 correspond to the similar elements as identified above for
239 independent claim 1.

240

241 16. A medium including machine readable coded indicia, said
242 machine readable coded indicia being selectively operable in
243 combination with a processing circuit for extracting embedded
244 identification information from a software package (e.g., *inter*
245 *alia*, *Figure 5 and Page 11, line 22, to page 12, line 23*), by
246 determining an organization of executable software modules
247 (*Figure 6, Program Modules 601, page 12 line 25 to page 13, line*
248 *26 and 814 Figure 8*) within said software package, wherein
249 relationships between said executable software modules (e.g.,
250 *inter alia*, *Linked Program Modules 603, Figure 6*) are
251 representative of said identification information (e.g., *inter*
252 *alia*, *Figure 5*), embedded within said software package.

253

254 To the combination set forth in claim 16, claim 17 adds the
255 recitation that said medium is an optically encoded disk (e.g.,
256 *inter alia*, *222 Figure 2*).

257

258 To the combination set forth in claim 16, claim 18 adds the
259 recitation that said medium is a magnetically encoded magnetic
260 diskette (e.g., *inter alia*, *219 Figure 2*).

261

262 To the combination set forth in claim 16, claim 19 adds the

APPEAL BRIEF
PAGE 9 OF 28

Serial Number 09/970,655
Attorney Docket No. AUS920010938US1

263 recitation that said software package resides on a storage device
264 (e.g., *inter alia*, 218 Figure 2) within a computer device.

265
266 To the combination set forth in claim 16, claim 20 adds the
267 recitation that the software package resides on a memory device
268 (e.g., *inter alia*, 207 Figure 2) within a computer device.

269
270 To the combination set forth in claim 16, claim 21 adds the
271 recitation that said embedded identification information includes
272 an identification of a user (e.g., *inter alia*, p13, line 20 et
273 seq.) of said software package.

274
275 To the combination set forth in claim 16, claim 22 adds the
276 recitation that said embedded identification information includes
277 an identifying number (e.g., *inter alia*, p13, line 21 et seq.)
278 related to said software package.

279
280 To the combination set forth in claim 22, claim 23 adds the
281 recitation that said embedded identification information further
282 includes an identification of a user (e.g., *inter alia*, p13, line
283 20 et seq.) of said software package.

284
285 The drawing and specification references of independent claim 24
286 correspond to the similar elements as identified above for
287 independent claims 1 and 16.

288
289 24. A network arranged to enable extracting of organizational
290 information of an organization of executable software modules

APPEAL BRIEF
PAGE 10 OF 28

Serial Number 09/970,655
Attorney Docket No. AUS920010938US1

291 (Figure 6, Program Modules 601, page 12 line 25 to page 13, line
292 26 and 814 Figure 8) within a software package (e.g., inter alia,
293 Figure 5 and Page 11, line 22, to page 12, line 23), at a user
294 terminal and transferring said organizational information to a
295 server for use in deriving identification information embedded
296 within said organizational information, said network comprising:
297
298 a user terminal (e.g., inter alia, 401, Figure 4) at which said
299 software package resides;
300
301 a server (e.g., inter alia, 407, Figure 4); and
302
303 an interconnection (e.g., inter alia, 403 and 405, Figure 4)
304 between said server and said user terminal, said user terminal
305 being responsive to a request to upload said organizational
306 information of said software package for determining said
307 organizational information and transferring said organizational
308 information to said server (e.g., inter alia, 811 and 813 Figure
309 8).

311 **GROUNDS OF REJECTION TO BE REVIEWED ON APPEAL**

312
313 I. Claims 1-3, 5-13 and 16-24 were rejected under 35 USC 103(a)
314 as being unpatentable over Misra (U.S. Patent 6,189,146 B1) in
315 view of Kobus (U.S. Patent 4,864,494);

316
317 II. Claims 4 and 15 were rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being
318 unpatentable over Misra in view of Kobus, and still in further

APPEAL BRIEF
PAGE 11 OF 28

Serial Number 09/970,655
Attorney Docket No. AUS920010938US1

view of Doherty et al (U.S. Patent 6,920,567 B1); and

III. Claim 14 was rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over Misra in view of Kobus, and still in further view of Nabahi (U.S. Patent 6,006,035).

ARGUMENT

I. With regard to the rejection of claims 1-3, 5-13 and 16-24 under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over Misra in view of Kobus, it is noted that the present invention provides a means by which software identification information, such as a user name or software package serial number, is extracted from a software package by determining the manner in which executable software modules are organized in the software package. With the present invention, user identification or the serial number identification, for example, of a particular software package, may be ascertained by the manner in which the software package executable modules are arranged. In one example, the identification information is represented in binary format, i.e. a series of "1's" and "0s", and that identification information is applied to the sequencing of executable software modules in a software package such that one sequence of executable software modules represents a binary "one" while another sequence of executable software modules represents a binary "zero". Thus by determining the relative sequencing of the executable software modules (rather than, for example, accessing a data file), one is

APPEAL BRIEF
PAGE 12 OF 28

Serial Number 09/970,655
Attorney Docket No. AUS920010938US1

347 enabled to re-assemble the binary identification information
348 which is embedded into the software package and determine, for
349 example, the licensed owner of the software package and/or the
350 serial number of the software package. Formats other than a
351 binary format may also be implemented.

352
353 As stated in applicant's specification, "instead of including
354 user information in a separate code segment of the download, the
355 transaction information is included in the structure or
356 organization of the downloaded code or data. Every software
357 package consists of code blocks, data areas, subroutines, methods
358 and other such subcomponents. After a requesting user has
359 furnished the requested information and agreed to the terms of a
360 license agreement, the website will compile and link the various
361 components of the software package together to form an executable
362 module which is then downloaded to the user. Normally, when the
363 various components of the software package are linked together to
364 form the executable module, the exact order of placement or
365 sequence of the components is usually not critical for the proper
366 execution of the software. In accordance with the present
367 invention however, the ordering and/or sequence of those
368 components and/or sub-components is used to encode selected
369 transaction information such that this encoded information can
370 later be extracted from the licensed software and copies of the
371 licensed software in the downloaded executable form. Thus, the
372 ordering or sequence of the software package components is used
373 to encode a serial number for the licensed software package as
374 well as other useful information. The embedded information can be

APPEAL BRIEF
PAGE 13 OF 28

Serial Number 09/970,655
Attorney Docket No. AUS920010938US1

375 checked at a later time to determine if the software or data have
376 been tampered with or if the usage pattern leads to suspicions
377 about illegal copying. The embedded information can then be used
378 to track down the source of the illegal copies".

379
380 With specific regard to the rejection of to the rejection of
381 claims 1-3, 5-13 and 16-24 under 35 USC 103(a) as being
382 unpatentable over Misra in view of Kobus,, it is noted that Misra
383 discloses a software licensing system which includes a license
384 generator located at a licensing clearinghouse and at least one
385 license server and multiple clients located at a company or
386 entity. To prevent a license pack from being copied and installed
387 on multiple license servers, the license generator assigns a
388 unique license pack ID with the particular license server in a
389 master license database kept at the licensing clearinghouse. To
390 prevent an issued license from being copied from one client
391 machine to another, the software license is assigned to a
392 specific client by including a client ID within the license, i.e.
393 the identity of the client **is typed** into the license agreement.
394 The software license also has a license ID that is associated
395 with the client ID in a database record kept at the license
396 server. There is no mention or suggestion anywhere in Misra of
397 **extracting ID information by determining an organization of the**
398 **executable software modules within a software package.**

399

400 To support the allegation that Misra anticipated the present
401 invention, specifically to support the alleged anticipation of
402 the claim language "**determining an organization of said software**

APPEAL BRIEF
PAGE 14 OF 28

Serial Number 09/970,655
Attorney Docket No. AUS920010938US1

403 **modules** within said software package"(emphasis added), the
404 Examiner had cited column 6, lines 25-35 of Misra in which the
405 following language appears: "The certifying authority performs a
406 verification analysis of the **organization** to verify that it is a
407 real entity and that the identification information is true and
408 accurate" (emphasis added). In the cited Misra reference, just
409 above the quoted reference, in column 6 line 31, it is stated
410 that "The entity or **organization** that owns, or is responsible
411 for, the license server 28 registers itself with an independent
412 certifying authority that is trusted by both the **organization** and
413 the clearinghouse" (emphasis added).

414
415 It is submitted that an "**organization**", meaning a company,
416 corporation or other entity, does not and cannot suggest in any
417 possible way the use of the "**organization**" (or arrangement) of
418 executable software modules in a software package.

419
420 Although a word search for the word "organization" apparently
421 returned the Misra reference, the resulting Misra reference was
422 applied without due consideration of the different contexts and
423 meanings for the word "organization". The cited Misra reference
424 and the present application use two different meanings for the
425 word "organization" and one has nothing to do with the other,
426 much less does Misra's use of the word "organization" (e.g. a
427 corporate entity) provide any basis which could possibly be used
428 to render obvious the use of the "**organization**" (e.g. an
429 arrangement or sequence) of executable software modules to
430 extract information, such as user ID or program serial number,

APPEAL BRIEF
PAGE 15 OF 28

431 from a software program.

432

433 In another language reference to Misra which is relied upon in
434 citing Misra as using the term "organization", column 12, lines
435 13-15 of Misra states that "The licenses are **organized** in the
436 license cache 136 according to information about the license
437 issuing authority and product ID (emphasis added)". This language
438 in Misra clearly refers to listing licenses in a cache by issuing
439 authority i.e. all from one authority get listed together before
440 those from another authority. Listing licenses in a database or
441 cache by entities, either alphabetically or otherwise, has
442 nothing to do with organizing or **arranging executable software**
443 **modules** in a software package to embed information about the
444 software package whereby such information can be extracted by
445 analysis of the order or sequence of the executable modules
446 within the software package as is claimed by the applicant.

447

448 Misra does not extract software package identification
449 information from the manner in which **executable** software modules
450 in the software package are arranged or organized. With the
451 present invention, **the arrangement of executable software modules**
452 **within the software package contains the information needed to**
453 **re-assemble the user identification information** of the software
454 package. Misra, instead, maintains the software ID information in
455 a database (Abstract, 2:40, 2:50, 3:19, etc.) and **not in an**
456 **arrangement of the executable software modules** in a software
457 package. Thus, it is submitted that the Misra reference fails as
458 a reference for disclosing or even suggesting the extraction of
459 information from the mere **organization** or arrangement of

APPEAL BRIEF

PAGE 16 OF 28

Serial Number 09/970,655

Attorney Docket No. AUS920010938US1

460 executable software modules in a software program.

461

462 The Kobus reference is similar to Misra in that there is no

463 teaching or even suggestion for determining an organization of

464 executable software modules within a software package and

465 extracting identification information from the organization of

466 executable software modules within the software package, as is

467 clearly recited in all of the pending independent claims 1, 16

468 and 24. Kobus, which was cited for the first time in the Final

469 Office Action mailed 4/6/2006, discloses a system that includes

470 an encrypted security message uniquely encoded at predetermined

471 locations within a software or program function. The software

472 includes pre-set errors to cause failure of execution of the

473 function unless the errors are nulled during the operation of the

474 program. Kobus nowhere even suggests **determining an organization**

475 **of executable software modules within a software package and**

476 **extracting identification information from the organization of**

477 **the executable software modules within said software package as**

478 **is clearly stated in the independent claims 1, 16 and 24.**

479

480 Further, it is submitted that there is no suggestion in either

481 reference for the hypothetical combination of Misra and Kobus

482 since each reference accomplishes a different function in a

483 different manner. i.e. Misra teaches the maintenance of a client

484 identification in a database while Kobus teaches a method of

485 preventing an operation of a software program without first

486 removing errors which are pre-set into the program. Neither

487 reference either teaches or even suggests extracting information

APPEAL BRIEF
PAGE 17 OF 28

Serial Number 09/970,655
Attorney Docket No. AUS920010938US1

488 from the arrangement or organization of executable modules within
489 a software package as is clearly recited in all of the
490 independent claims and also, through dependence, in the dependent
491 claims as well.

492
493 Thus, it is submitted that there is no basis in either reference
494 for the hypothetical combination of Misra and Kobus and further,
495 that since neither Misra nor Kobus either discloses or suggests
496 determining an organization of executable software modules within
497 a software package and extracting identification information from
498 the organization of the executable software modules within said
499 software package, it is submitted that even the hypothetical
500 combination of Misra and Kobus fails to render the present
501 invention as stated in the pending independent claims 1, 16 and
502 24 and related dependent claims 2-3, 5-13 and 17-23 obvious under
503 35 USC 103(a).

504

505 **II.** With regard to the rejection of claims 4 and 15 under 35 USC
506 103(a) as being unpatentable over Misra in view of Kobus and in
507 still further view of Doherty, it is noted that claims 4 and 15
508 are dependent from, and include all of the limitations of claim 1
509 as well as the further limitations of the intermediate dependent
510 claims. Doherty also maintains ID information in a database and
511 **not embedded in the software package by the manner in which the**
512 **executable modules of the software package are organized as**
513 claimed by the applicant. Doherty discloses a digital content
514 file (DCF) including a license control mechanism controlling the
515 licensed use of digital content and a system and method for

distributing licensable digital content files and licenses. The file access control mechanism includes a license monitor and control mechanism communicating with a dynamic license database and controlling use of the digital content and a license control utility providing communications between a user system and an external system to communicate license definition information and includes a graphical user interface. The license information of Doherty may be stored initially in the dynamic license database or provided from an external system. **With the present invention, the license information is embedded in the arrangement of the executable software modules** of the software package not in a dynamic license database or provided from an external system as specified in Doherty. Thus, since neither Misra, nor Kobus nor Doherty, or even a hypothetical combination of all three references, shows or even suggests extracting information by determining an organization of the executable software modules within a software package as is disclosed and claimed by the applicant, it is submitted that claims 4 and 15 are allowable under 35 USC 103(a) over even the hypothetical combination of Misra, Kobus and Doherty.

III. With regard to the rejection of claim 14 under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over Misra in view of Kobus and in still further view of Nabahi, it is noted that Nabahi was cited against dependent claims merely to allegedly show the use of a binary format. Applicant notes that Nabahi discloses neither the use of a binary format as used by the applicant, nor the use of extracted binary formatted organizational information to

determine identification information associated with a software package. Thus, since neither Misra, nor Kobus nor Nabahi, or even a hypothetical combination of all three references, shows or even suggests extracting information by determining an organization of the executable software modules within a software package as is disclosed and claimed by the applicant, it is submitted that claim 14 is allowable under 35 USC 103(a) over even the hypothetical combination of Misra, Kobus and Nabahi.

CONCLUSION

For the reasons stated above, applicant urges the Board to conclude that the rejections of claims 1-3, 5-13 and 16-24 under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over Misra in view of Kobus, and the rejections of claims 4 and 15 under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over Misra in view of Kobus, and still in further view of Doherty et al, and the rejection of claim 14 under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over Misra in view of Kobus, and still in further view of Nabahi, are not well-founded and should be reversed.

Please charge IBM Corporation Deposit Account No. 09-0447 in the amount of \$500.00 for submission of a Brief in Support of Appeal. No additional fee or extension of time is believed to be required; however, in the event an additional fee or extension of time is required, please charge the fee, as well as any other fee necessary to further the prosecution of this application, to the

APPEAL BRIEF
PAGE 20 OF 28

Serial Number 09/970,655
Attorney Docket No. AUS920010938US1

572 above-identified deposit account.

573

574 Respectfully submitted,

575

576 *Robert V. Wilder*

577

578 Robert V. Wilder (Tel:512-246-8555)

579 Registration No. 26,352

580 Attorney for Applicant

581 4235 Kingsburg Drive

582 Round Rock, Texas 78681

APPEAL BRIEF

PAGE 21 OF 28

Serial Number 09/970,655

Attorney Docket No. AUS920010938US1

CLAIMS APPENDIX

583
584
585 1. A method for extracting identification information from a
586 software package, said software package including a number of
587 executable software modules organized in a manner determined by
588 said identification information, said method comprising:
589
590 determining an organization of said executable software modules
591 within said software package; and
592
593 extracting said identification information from said organization
594 of said executable software modules within said software package.
595
596 2. The method as set forth in claim 1 wherein said executable
597 software modules are coupled together in a manner representative
598 of said identification information.
599
600 3. The method as set forth in claim 2 wherein said executable
601 software modules are coupled together by compiling said software
602 modules into an executable form of said software package.
603
604 4. The method as set forth in claim 2 wherein said executable
605 software modules are coupled together by linking said executable
606 software modules into an executable form of said software
607 package.
608
609 5. The method as set forth in claim 1 and further including:
610
611 analyzing said software package to determine an organizational

**APPEAL BRIEF
PAGE 22 OF 28**

***Serial Number 09/970,655*
Attorney Docket No. AUS920010938US1**

612 relationship among said executable software modules; and
613
614 determining a binary series from said organizational relationship
615 of said executable software modules.
616
617 6. The method as set forth in claim 1 and further including
618 transmitting said software package over a network to a requesting
619 terminal, said requesting terminal being enabled to extract said
620 identification information from said organization of said
621 executable software modules of said software package.
622
623 7. The method as set forth in claim 6 wherein said software
624 package is transmitted from a user terminal over an Internet
625 network to a server.
626
627 8. The method as set forth in claim 6 wherein said user terminal
628 is a wireless device.
629
630 9. The method as set forth in claim 6 wherein said user terminal
631 is a personal computer system.
632
633 10. The method as set forth in claim 1 wherein said
634 identification information includes an identification of a user
635 of said software package.
636
637 11. The method as set forth in claim 1 wherein said
638 identification information includes an identifying number related
639 to said software package.

APPEAL BRIEF
PAGE 23 OF 28

Serial Number 09/970,655
Attorney Docket No. AUS920010938US1

640
641 12. The method as set forth in claim 11 wherein said
642 identification information further includes an identification of
643 a user of said software package.
644
645 13. The method as set forth in claim 1 wherein said executable
646 software modules are organized in a series of sets of executable
647 software modules, each of said sets comprising a predetermined
648 number of executable software modules.
649
650 14. The method as set forth in claim 13 wherein said series of
651 sets corresponds to a binary series, and each of said sets
652 comprises first and second executable software modules, said
653 binary series being determined in accordance with a sequence of
654 said first and second executable software modules within said
655 sets of said executable software modules.
656
657 15. The method as set forth in claim 13 wherein said series of
658 sets is organized in other than a binary format, each of said
659 sets comprising a number of said executable software modules
660 other than two, said identification information being determined
661 according to an order in which said number of executable software
662 modules are sequenced within said sets of executable software
663 modules.
664
665 16. A medium including machine readable coded indicia, said
666 machine readable coded indicia being selectively operable in
667 combination with a processing circuit for extracting embedded

APPEAL BRIEF
PAGE 24 OF 28

Serial Number 09/970,655
Attorney Docket No. AUS920010938US1

668 identification information from a software package by determining
669 an organization of executable software modules within said
670 software package, wherein relationships between said executable
671 software modules are representative of said identification
672 information embedded within said software package.

673
674 17. The medium as set forth in claim 16 wherein said medium is an
675 optically encoded disk.

676
677 18. The medium as set forth in claim 16 wherein said medium is a
678 magnetically encoded magnetic diskette.

679
680 19. The medium as set forth in claim 16 wherein said software
681 package resides on a storage device within a computer device.

682
683 20. The medium as set forth in claim 16 wherein software package
684 resides on a memory device within a computer device.

685
686 21. The medium as set forth in claim 16 wherein said embedded
687 identification information includes an identification of a user
688 of said software package.

689
690 22. The medium as set forth in claim 16 wherein said embedded
691 identification information includes an identifying number related
692 to said software package.

693
694 23. The medium as set forth in claim 22 wherein said embedded
695 identification information further includes an identification of

APPEAL BRIEF
PAGE 25 OF 28

Serial Number 09/970,655
Attorney Docket No. AUS920010938US1

696 a user of said software package.

697

698 24. A network arranged to enable extracting of organizational
699 information of an organization of executable software modules
700 within a software package at a user terminal and transferring
701 said organizational information to a server for use in deriving
702 identification information embedded within said organizational
703 information, said network comprising:

704

705 a user terminal at which said software package resides;

706

707 a server; and

708

709 an interconnection between said server and said user terminal,
710 said user terminal being responsive to a request to upload said
711 organizational information of said software package for
712 determining said organizational information and transferring said
713 organizational information to said server.

APPEAL BRIEF

PAGE 26 OF 28

Serial Number 09/970,655

Attorney Docket No. AUS920010938US1

714

EVIDENCE APPENDIX

715

716 There are no items in this Appendix.

APPEAL BRIEF

PAGE 27 OF 28

Serial Number 09/970,655

Attorney Docket No. AUS920010938US1

717

RELATED PROCEEDINGS APPENDIX

718

719 There are no items in this Appendix.

APPEAL BRIEF

PAGE 28 OF 28

Serial Number 09/970,655

Attorney Docket No. AUS920010938US1